Peter Göttlicher FEB June, 3rd 2004

Electromagnetic Noise and Grounding for TTF2 General Electrical Engineering

- Motivation for EMC
- Electronic Design: Avoid sources
- Coupling of the Noise
- Signal Receivers: Reduce Sensitivity
- Guidelines for the Practice
- Case Study
- Available Components
- Concluding Remarks

Used Literature:

EMV-Messe 2001, Augsburg, Anton Kohling (Siemens) Seminar EMV-Praxis 2000, Prof. Chr. Dirks

Motivation for EMC:

Why follow EMC regulations?

- Eelectro Magnetic Compatibility is regulated by EU:

Legal character But DESY as self-user of developments needs not to certify

- System-Integrity Important for successful operation! Function of large systems only, if: Do not disturb each another Insensitive to external noise might be even more stringent as legal !

- Same technique: Therefore today in one short: $\underline{EMC/SI}$

For SI: Norm = Standard for all developments, tests,... Important for use of commercial products. Fulfilling the regulation is important, certifying not required

Peter Göttlicher (FEB), June 3rd 2004, 2

Motivation for EMC: Costs and Success Siemens:

System	Estimated costs in %		mended instruments in %		Remaining malfunctions in %	
EMC	afterwards	planned	afterwards	planned	afterwards	planned
Technical simple	25	12	<50	<3	210	<1
Technical very complex	5>10	14	10>50	<5	5>10	<2

Problems observed at DESY:



Peter Göttlicher (FEB), June 3rd 2004, 3



Electronic design: Avoid Sources by Limiting Frequency

Fast transients: Pickup by others = noise for others Faster not better: Use only, what is needed

Sources are : - Logic family, programmable rise/fall time, - Switching power, DC/DC-converters



Electronic design: Avoid Sources

by Stabilizing Supply Voltage



Coupling: Principles

Radio waves:

Propagation over long distance without material Personal experience: No negative since long time. never worked close to RF-Instrumentation Mostly because of technical understanding since long time



Coupling: Principles, Current on ground





Coupling: Capacitive Principle, Interruption of Currents



- Cable with non-compensated (not completely) voltage-signal C/length= $\varepsilon_0 \pi$ /ln(distance/radius) = 12pF/m distance=5mm, radius=0.5mm

- Routed on metal support - Mirrored current on support

- At interruption of support: What can happen?

Large way around; Use other cable (=noise, crosstalk)

⇒ Voltage-compensation on small distance: $U_2 = -U_1(AC)$, $I_2 = -I_1$

- ➡ Interconnections at small distances (grid) in the ground
- ⇒ Small distances of signal cables to metal support

Peter Göttlicher (FEB), June 3rd 2004, 10

Coupling: Bothering Frequencies

- Possible for all
- DC $\nu = 0$ Hz



large distances, inside conductors $100\mu s^{11}$ (only) offsets can be kept small with cross sections of conductors

- middle range frequencies ((1MHz) propagate into system; conductors and free room typical effects in circuits and in large electrical environment

- high frequencies $\mathcal{O}(1\text{GHz})$

return current wants to be close to signal ⇒ low emission small wave length ⇒Small pickup by large systems ⇒reduction of the problem Don't forget: Transmission by civilization (Handy,Radio) large power at TTF and effects at input diode of circuit

Signal Receivers: Reduce Sensitivity

No contact to

front plate ...

What are the problems:

- Current balancing
- Breaking ground loops
 Ground level shifts

Example for coax-cable:

Twisted pair, DuplexCoax similar

- For RF without DC also transformer
- Common Mode coils, ferrits

Gained by differential input:

- Receivers has high input impedance: Very limited pickup
- Large reduction of sensitivity to common mode voltage (<2V)

Price:

- Opening in housing : Reduced ESD, RF has small entrance ring
- Instrumentation amplifier instead of standard Op-amplifier

Inst.amplifier

Look at

difference

GND

signal

referenced



Signal transmission:

- Use differential drivers
- Use symmetric cables: Twisted, Duplex coaxial
 - → Voltage compensation with low capacitive coupling
 - → Current compensation with low magnetic coupling
 - rightarrow low coupling to support and other cables
 - → low sensitivity to ground level shifting

Power supply:

- Separation of Current return (N) and protection earth (PE) = Ground
- Current return close to phase
 - → Low current on PE and stable PE

Following hints or not a statement, that DESY does it wrong

Guidelines are mainly from A.Kohling

Guidelines for Practice: Infrastructure

- Group the cable in categories and route separated: EMC-noisy/EMC-sensible

- Compensation is not perfect: Plan the cable support carefully
- Cables should be routed close to a ground plane:

Seduces the noisy volume

- Use metal as cable support
- Interconnect consecutive cable supports with low impedance
- Parallel cable channels interconnect every 20-30m
- Connect cable supports every 20-30m to PE
- Cable channels and racks should be connected with low impedance

Guidelines for Practice: Signal cables

- Don't use asymmetric or potential referenced transmission over large distances: *In discussion "large"* > 5m
- Signal shield connected at both ends,

if not specified other (e.g. analog).

Discussion: - Contra experience from the practice,

- only good, if ground = ground

ground free of current

- advantage: less E/B-field into the instruments

But at DESY: condition for ground is most cases violated

I got better behavior with only one side + diff. receiver

- Outer shields should regularly be connected at both ends, *Discussion see above*

- Current for signal and return close to each another and parallel. also for the branch line to switches.

Guidelines for Practice: Power supply

- Low voltage net (240V) should always be TN-S –type. This is: 3-wire or 5-wire connections,

isolated neutral (N) and protection ground (PE)

→ low current on explicit PE, metal of building , shields... See next pictures:

Exact one connection from PE to N per transformer Attention to extension leads (DESY-stock is OK.) interconnections in power distributors (HV/UV)

- Power supply cables should regularly be multi conductor

(conductor twisted, close to each another)

The exception should be single wire:

But rooted parallel and low distance

- Current for signal and return close to each another and parallel. also for the branch line to switches.

Concept from Siemens:



Minimal configuration: But Current on PE

Price for "no" current is a fifth wire, but don't forget VDE100 (low voltage regulation)



Guidelines for Practice: Documentation

-Documents for cables should contain:

O cable type

O cable category (EMC, signal, power, analog/digital....)

O type of shielding

O position of connections of shield (and other) to ground

• O description of signal used / possible on that cable

-Document for installed instruments:

O possible pattern of noise

O non fulfilling EMC-regulation

Experience at DESY:

Difficult to fight against noise pickup Normally noise transmitter not identifiable \$\&> no understand of the coupling \$\&> low information for improvement

$Case\ Study\ {\rm for\ a\ isolated\ hut\ (EOS)}$



Outside hut : No information about I, U on Ground

Inside the hut

- 1. Keep current away from PE
 - Main grounding crate, connect all external GND, transform to differential ?, decouple external GND.
 - internal all differential
 - RF might not be a transmitter
- 2. Metal cable supports and network of GND keep options
- 3. Input, if possible optical
- 4. Left problem:
 - 3 external GND, likely I ≠ 0 \$modulation of external signal?

Peter Göttlicher (FEB), June 3rd 2004, 20

Available Components

Electronic development:

Analog transmission: Video-lines, diff. ADC-drivers, (diff. ADC's)

Digital transmission:

Well established:	diff. ECL (ECL dying, PECL alive)	
	diff. NIM (no production of NIM-driver)	
	RS422 (10Mbps)	
	optical	
last years and new	LVDS (100Mbps)	
	transformer decoupling	

Cables:

LAN-cables : 4 twisted pairs, individual shield, common GND 15.4dB/100m @ 100MHz, compatible to RG58 Duplex coax : used since long time at DESY

Available components: Comparison of Cables



Available Components: Comparison of Cables

Study for VUV-FEL Beam loss monitor



Concluding Remarks

- Regulation is one thing: No replacement for individual case study
- Small signal together with high currents ... is a challenge,
 heeds effort
- Not every thing can be improved later
 Better plan in advance, but also prepare for later repairs
- System installations only function, if all in their duty keeps disturbance and couplings small
- Beside EMC/SI keep priority of personal safety in mind (VDE100)

EMC as legal regulation is an other item. At DESY only in the starting phases.